EXHIBIT A

12-12020-mg Doc 2870-1 Filed 02/08/13 Entered 02/08/13 13:51:09 Exhibit A Pg 2 of 21

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

Howard Seife
David M. LeMay
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112
Telephone: (212) 408-5100
Facsimile: (212) 541-5369

Counsel to the Examiner

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In re:	:	Chapter 11
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,	:	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
Debtors.	; ;	Jointly Administered
	Х	

THIRD SUPPLEMENT TO WORK PLAN OF ARTHUR J. GONZALEZ, EXAMINER

TO THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Arthur J. Gonzalez, the Court-appointed Examiner (the "Examiner") in the above-captioned cases, by his undersigned counsel, hereby submits this Third Supplement to his Work Plan filed with this Court on August 6, 2012 [Docket No. 1010] (as amended by Supplement to Work Plan of Arthur J. Gonzalez, Examiner, filed with this Court on August 23, 2012 [Docket No. 1240] and Second Supplement to Work Plan of Arthur J. Gonzalez, Examiner, filed with this Court on November 26, 2012 [Docket No. 2263]) (as so amended, the "Work Plan").

Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Work Plan.

12-12020-mg Doc 2870-1 Filed 02/08/13 Entered 02/08/13 13:51:09 Exhibit A Pg 3 of 21

For the reasons stated on the record of the hearing held in this case on February 7, 2013 (a copy of the relevant portion of the transcript of that hearing is annexed hereto as Exhibit A; see pp. 11-16), the projected delivery date for the Examiner's report must be extended for an additional month, from the previously-projected period of early April, 2013 to early May, 2013. The extension will have a correlative effect on the estimated budget for fees and expenses to be incurred by the Examiner as provided in the Work Plan.

Dated: New York, New York February 8, 2013

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

By: /s/ Howard Seife

Howard Seife David M. LeMay 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112 Telephone: (212) 408-5100

Facsimile: (212) 541-5369

Counsel to the Examiner

EXHIBIT A

In Re:

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.
Case No. 12-12020-mg;12-12019-mg; Adv. Proc.12-01935-mg

February 7, 2013

eScribers, LLC (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net www.escribers.net

To purchase copies of this transcript, please contact us.



Min-U-Script® with Word Index

1	PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP
2	Attorneys for Ambac Assurance Corporation
3	1133 Avenue of the Americas
4	New York, NY 10036
5	
6	BY: DAVID W. DYKHOUSE, ESQ.
7	
8	
9	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
10	Attorneys for Barclays Bank PLC
11	Four Times Square
12	New York, NY 10036
13	
14	BY: JONATHAN H. HOFER, ESQ.
15	
16	
17	ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
18	Attorneys for Digital Lewisville
19	1900 Main Street
20	5th Floor
21	Irvine, CA 92614
22	
23	BY: IVAN GOLD, ESQ.
24	
25	

1	BRADL	EY, ARANT, BOULT & CUMMINGS, LLP	
2		Attorneys for Defendant Judy Faber	
3 4 5		One Federal Place 1819 Fifth Avenue North Birmingham, AL 35203	
7	BY:	CHRISTOPHER L. HAWKINS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)	
8			
9	TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP		
10		Attorneys for Troutman Sanders LLP	
11		222 Central Park Avenue	
12		Suite 2000	
13		Virginia Beach, VA 23462	
14			
15	BY:	JASON E. MANNING, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)	
16			
17	WOMBI	E CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC	
18		Attorneys for JPMorgan Mortgage Acquisition	
19		222 Delaware Avenue	
20		Wilmington, DE 19801	
21			
22	BY:	THOMAS HORAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)	
23			
24	ALSO	PRESENT: (TELEPHONICALLY)	
25		MICHAEL WHEELER, Pro Se	

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Please be seated. We're here on Residential Capital, number 12-12020. We're going to begin with a report from the examiner's counsel.

Mr. Seife?

MR. SEIFE: Thank you, Your Honor. Howard Seife, Chadbourne & Parke, counsel for the examiner.

We'd like to give the Court an update, a status report on our activities. To date, we've received, through production, approximately 1.1 million documents, which amount to more than seven and a half million pages, and they come from twenty producing parties.

We have, to date, completed fifty interviews, and we have seventeen additional scheduled, and perhaps an additional twenty-five or so that remain to be scheduled.

When we were last here in court to give you a status report, we at that time anticipated delivery of the report by early April. And as we discussed on the record, that date, however, was contingent upon the production of documents from parties on a timely basis and on a rolling basis, such that we could review them in a timely fashion and use them, as appropriate, for interviews and for incorporation in the report.

As we reported to Your Honor in chambers, unfortunately, there's been an enormous delivery of documents

at the end of January and early February, far in excess of what we anticipated and what would have complied with a rolling production. Faced with this additional two million-plus pages of recently produced documents from the debtors, it will require reassessment of the ability to complete the report as we had previously hoped.

So given all of the elements which would be required to review this new and very substantial document production, to incorporate it into interviews, to perhaps recall some of the parties already interviewed for additional questioning, we now anticipate a delay and rescheduling for an anticipated time of filing the report to early May, so that would be an additional month.

The examiner certainly regrets the inability to produce a report, as anticipated, in early April, but this unanticipated production no longer makes that a feasible date. So with Your Honor's permission, we're suggesting a later delivery of the report.

THE COURT: Just if you could, tell me what, if any, additional document requests are outstanding, not the specific request, but what additional production are you anticipating?

Is there a schedule for that to occur?

MR. SEIFE: We have requested that all parties finish their production of documents, substantial finish them, by the end of January. Most parties have complied with that. Of

course there are always additional follow-ups and clarifications and issues that are dealt with. However, there are some parties that have not finished production. You may recall we have conflicts counsel that came into the case; they are seeking production from four financial institutions. Those four have not finished their production. We feel at least three of them are substantially advanced; a fourth has produced a voluminous amount of documents, but there remains more that we anticipate we should have by the middle of February.

As to other third parties -- and my colleagues can correct me if I'm wrong -- I believe we have substantially all productions, but let me just verify that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SEIFE: Ally has produced substantial amounts of documents. There remain some issues in dispute that we are working through with Ally. Without getting into the details, because the parties are trying to resolve it, there are some what we refer to as clawback requests. Parties have produced documents, reserving their right on privilege issues to clawback some documents that were either inadvertently produced or improperly produced, and we're trying to work through those, particularly on some regulatory privileges. And if we cannot resolve that, we may be before Your Honor on an expedited basis to resolve those.

So that's a long way of saying we have substantially

received documents that we've requested, but there remain some open issues with some of the parties.

THE COURT: Have you had to issue subpoenas for documents, or has it all been through informal requests?

MR. SEIFE: We've been issuing subpoenas.

THE COURT: And you talked about interviews; have they been under oath or not?

MR. SEIFE: The interviews are recorded and transcribed; they're not under oath.

THE COURT: Have any of the people that you want to interview declined to be interviewed?

MR. SEIFE: No. Everyone we've requested, to date, has appeared. There are still some remaining, as I said, a substantial number of interviews, but to date, everyone has appeared and spoken on our recorded interviews.

THE COURT: Okay. I have no doubt that you and your colleagues and the examiner are working diligently to complete a very large task. I certainly believe that the completion of the examiner report is very important to progress in this case with respect to development of a plan, negotiating a consensual plan, hopefully. So getting a report completed as soon as possible, I think, is important. I have no doubt that the examiner and his professionals want to assure that the report is of the highest quality, and I really don't have any question about that. If you and your colleagues are meeting with any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

resistance with respect to parties producing documents in a timely fashion, I want to hear about it sooner rather than later.

It's important, and I'm committed to do everything I can -- and the power of the pen on orders sometimes has that effect -- to enable you and your colleagues and the examiner to get everything you need so that you can complete the report as quickly as possible. So I can't emphasize enough that yes, I hope you will be able to consensually resolve issues, things -you know, you raised the issue about clawback and privileges, and in any substantial production those issues always arise, and virtually always they get worked out, where the lawyers are capable lawyers. And I'm not trying to get into the middle of that process at all, but it has to happen quickly, so that if you need a hearing with respect to a discovery dispute, you call chambers, and you'll -- in virtually all instances, you'll have a hearing that day or the next day, usually, in the first instance, by telephone. I don't want letter briefs or anything unless and until I've heard the parties out; I'm usually able to resolve it. But just in all matters, any kinds of discovery disputes, they get heard very, very promptly. So I just encourage you, if you need to -- I mean, in the first instance, I hope you'll resolve things as they're usually done, consensually.

It's very important, in my view, to the progress in

this case, that the report be done in early May. And if things occur that you think raise questions about it, I want to hear about it immediately and not in April. Okay. And we have to see if there's anything that can be done to keep the process moving to make sure it's done. But I appreciate your report, Mr. Seife, and I certainly appreciate the work of you and your colleagues and of the examiner.

MR. SEIFE: I would just add, Your Honor, the examiner is fully cognizant of the importance of the report to this case and progress in the case, and he and his professionals are fully committed to working as hard as we can and in an expedited fashion as possible to get it done, and --

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. SEIFE: -- we appreciate your offer of assistance.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

MR. SEIFE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does anybody else want to be heard on the subject of the examiner report?

All right. Let's go on to the agenda, Mr. Rosenbaum.

And anyone who was for this is certainly excused.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Good morning, Your Honor. Norm Rosenbaum, Morrison & Foerster for the debtors.

Your Honor, it's a relatively short calendar this morning. We've noted all the adjourned matters, and the first matters on for today are on page 5 under resolved matters.